

Selecting Innovation:

Project Selection Procedures in Research Funding Agencies

Peter Biegelbauer Thomas Palfinger

Department Innovation Systems, AIT Austrian Institute of Technology



Research questions

- Comparison of eight national applied research funding agencies in five EU countries
- How are research projects selected in different research funding agencies?
 - Role and function of evaluators
 - Selection principles evaluators, juries/committees
 - Forms of evaluations
 - Portfolio management
 - Differentiation of selection steps
 - Forms of decision-making
 - Learning from experience
- What are current standard practices?
- What are observable trends?





Methods

- Literature research on organisations, processes and persons
- 12 open background conversations
- 11 half standardised interviews
- Validation of research results in three national and international workshops



Variation in Agency Size Ranking

| PTJ | RCN | Tekes | DASTI | PT VDI-TZ | VINNOVA | VDI/VDE-IT | PT TÜV |
|--------------------|-----|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|
| € 1,26 Milliard | | € 570 Million | € 566 Million | € 300 Million | € 238 Million | € 83,5 Million | ? |

Data from Agency, TAFTIE and ERA-WATCH homepages



Variation in Agency Age Ranking





Variation in Internal Project Selection Procedures Ranking





Summary: Project Selection Practices

- Clarity of the selection criteria's exact meaning
- Combination of external and internal evaluators
- Concentration on the "mid level" proposals
- Calibration of evaluation results
- Learning from experience





Conclusion: Trends

- Harmonisation of project selection procedures in the organisation
- Utilisation of external evaluators
- Objectivied project selection procedures
- Learning from experience (own and others)

