



# Horizon 2020

## The overall evaluation approach

Conference "New Horizons, New Challenges"  
Vienna, 14 November 2013

**Dr Peter Fisch**  
European Commission - DG Research and Innovation



# EU Framework Programmes Characteristics

- Project funding only
- True common pot – no national quota
- Competitive process with annual calls and peer review proposal evaluation
- Main focus on collaborative projects with partners from several countries
- Open to academia and industry alike
- Open to the world, not restricted to Europe



## FP7 in Key Figures (2007 – 2013)

103.000	Applications
485.000	Participants in applications received
20.000	Projects funded
106.000	Participants in projects funded
	<i>39% Universities</i>
	<i>29% Industry (17% SME)</i>
	<i>29% Research Organisations</i>
32.5	Billion € EU funding
170	Countries participating



# FP7 Evaluation Basics

- Evidence based
  - ✓ Quantitative and qualitative data
- Policy oriented
  - ✓ Recommendations for improvements
- Main products
  - ✓ FP7 Interim Evaluation 2010
  - ✓ FP7 Ex-Post Evaluation 2015
  - ✓ Annual Monitoring Reports

<http://ec.europa.eu/research/evaluations>



# FP7 Evaluation Work in Progress

- “Thematic Studies” - to analyse a particular area
  - ✓ Coverage across whole FP7
- “Horizontal Studies” - to analyse overarching issues
  - ✓ Effects of simplification measures
  - ✓ Long term impact of the FP
  - ✓ Network Analysis
  - ✓ FP Impact on Innovation
  - ✓ Research Management Performance
  - ✓ Human Research Capacities



# FP7 Evaluation

## Example: Analysis of Project Reporting Data

**Top 20 Peer Reviewed Journals by Number of Publications** SJR No. of Publications % of all publications

1 PLoS One	1,8	496	2,11 %
2 Physical Review Letters	5,1	304	1,29 %
3 Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics	2,7	257	1,09 %
4 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the US	5,4	233	0,99 %
5 Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society	2,4	172	0,73 %
6 Nature	14,5	171	0,73 %
7 Journal of Biological Chemistry	2,8	169	0,72 %
8 Astrophysical Journal	3,2	166	0,71 %
9 Physical Review D-Particles,Fields,Gravitation and Cosmology	2,2	159	0,68 %
10 Applied Physics Letters	2,3	157	0,67 %
11 Astronomy and Astrophysics	1,9	151	0,64 %
12 Optics Express	2,3	146	0,62 %
13 Nature Genetics	19,9	140	0,60 %
14 Journal of the American Chemical Society	4,4	127	0,54 %
15 Journal of High Energy Physics	0,9	125	0,53 %
16 Journal of Neuroscience	4,6	125	0,53 %
17 Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy	2,0	110	0,47 %
18 Science	11,2	103	0,44 %
19 Nucleic Acids Research	4,6	102	0,43 %
20 Journal of Physical Chemistry C	1,9	94	0,40 %
Total		3507	14,93 %

\*SJR - Journal Rank Indicator, it is a measure of journal's impact, influence or prestige. It expresses the average number of weighted citations received in the selected year by the documents published in the journal in the three previous years (2011)



# Horizon 2020

## Basics

- **A single programme** bringing together three separate programmes/initiatives (FP/CIP/EIT)
- **Coupling research to innovation** – from research to retail, covering all forms of innovation
- **Simplified access**, for all companies, universities, institutes in all EU countries and beyond
- Structured around **three pillars**
  - ✓ Excellent Science
  - ✓ Industrial Leadership
  - ✓ Societal Challenges

# Horizon 2020

## Explicit Intervention Logic

- Objectives specified
  - General objectives
    - ✓ "3% target"
    - ✓ Innovation Indicator
  - Specific objectives
    - ✓ Outlined (per activity) in the Specific Programme
  - Operational objectives
    - ✓ References in the Work Programmes
- Key indicators
  - ✓ Specified with benchmark figures



EIT

EIB



## Examples of Key Performance Indicators

### 1. EXCELLENT SCIENCE

#### European Research Council

- Share of publications from ERC funded projects among the top 1% highly cited per field of science

#### Future and Emerging Technologies

- Publications in peer-reviewed high impact journals
- Patent applications and patents awarded in FET

### 2. INDUSTRIAL LEADERSHIP

#### Leadership in enabling and industrial technologies

- Patent applications and patents awarded in the different (...) technologies
- Share of participating firms introducing innovations new to the company or the market (period of the project + 3 years)

### 3. SOCIETAL CHALLENGES

- Publications in peer-reviewed high impact journals
- Patent applications and patents awarded
- Number of prototypes and testing activities
- Number of joint public-private publications

## Annual Monitoring of cross-cutting Issues

1. Contribution to the realisation of the European Research Area
2. Widening the participation
3. SMEs participation
4. Social Sciences and Humanities
5. Science and Society
6. Gender
7. International Cooperation
8. Sustainable development and climate change, including information on climate change related expenditure
9. Bridging from discovery to market application
10. Digital Agenda
11. Private Sector Participation
12. Funding for Public Private and Public Public Partnerships
13. Communication and dissemination
14. Participation patterns of independent experts



# Horizon 2020 Evaluation System

## Challenges: Data

- Horizon 2020 Evidence Base
  - CORDA
  - "Impact Data" (Reporting, Surveys, ...)
- Open Access Publications mandatory
  - New quality of bibliometric data
- Links with other data sources
  - Bibliometric analysis (Scopus, Web of science, ...)
  - Patent data
  - Company data
  - Social networks
  - ...



# Horizon 2020 Evaluation System

## Challenges: ERA

- Horizon 2020 is unique ... but part of a wider picture
- Possible longer term vision:  
A comprehensive information system for research funding within the ERA
  - ✓ Horizon 2020
  - ✓ National funding programmes
  - ✓ Other funding sources (regions, foundations, ...)
- Major challenges to overcome
  - ✓ Technical feasibility?
  - ✓ Political agreement?
  - ✓ Legal issues (confidentiality; personal data; ...)?



# Horizon 2020 Evaluation System Challenges: Analysis and Assessment

- Evaluation is more than data and evidence
- Need to improve on analytical skills and to explore new methodologies for evaluation of research and innovation activities
  - ✓ Counterfactual analysis?
  - ✓ Formal consultation of stakeholders?
  - ✓ Survey techniques using social media?
- Improve links with the evaluation constituencies
  - ✓ Standing advisory body?
  - ✓ Research Evaluation Conference(s)?
  - ✓ ...



# Horizon 2020 Evaluation System Outlook

Early 2014	Commission Staff Working Document "Horizon 2020 Evaluation and Reporting"
Mid 2015	First Annual Horizon 2020 Evaluation Report
<i>End 2015</i>	<i>FP7 Ex-Post Evaluation Report</i>
2017	Horizon 2020 Interim Evaluation
2023	Horizon 2020 Ex-Post Evaluation



## Contact

Dr. Peter Fisch

Head of Unit “Evaluation”

European Commission – DG RTD A.5

ORBN 1/88

1049 Brussels / BELGIUM

[peter.fisch@ec.europa.eu](mailto:peter.fisch@ec.europa.eu)

<http://ec.europa.eu/research/evaluations>